EMAIL from John Lamborn, JP Lamborn Company, to Bryan Alcorn of the California Energy Commission

>>>>>>>>>>

From: "John Lamborn" <johnlamborn@jplflex.com>

To: balcorn@energy.state.ca.us Date: 09/03/03 10:42AM

Subject: Revised Residential Duct Insulation Cost Effectiveness Analysis

Dear Brian,

Thank you for forwarding Bruce's paper on proposed California duct thermal standards. Please pass along to Bruce that we consider his analysis and recommendation to be both reasonable in nature and generally accurate. May we suggest that given the coastal communities that would use R4.2 in zones 6,7 & 8 are no longer large new residential housing markets, the CEC standardize on a minimum R6 in prescriptive package D in zones 1-13. By standardizing on R6 the distribution channel has an easier time supplying the correct products and the chances of "bootlegging" R4.2 into other climate zones disappears.

Thanks again for copying us with this paper.

Regards,

John Lamborn

----Original Message----

From: Michael

Sent: Wednesday, September 03, 2003 8:56 AM

To: John

Subject: FW: Revised Residential Duct Insulation Cost Effectiveness Analysis

----Original Message----

From: Bryan Alcorn [mailto:Balcorn@energy.state.ca.us]

Sent: Tuesday, September 02, 2003 4:46 PM

To: wylier@beutler.com; mike@consol.ws; rob@consol.ws; mike@gabelenergy.com; dmahone@h-m-g.com; mchugh@h-m-g.com; john@jplflex.com; dgoldstein@nrdc.org; nhorowitz@nrdc.org; PLE2@pge.com; farber-energy@sbcglobal.net; bill@soldata.com; billm@soldata.com

Subject: Revised Residential Duct Insulation Cost EffectivenessAnalysis

All.

Attached to this email is a revised residential duct insulation cost effectiveness analysis paper that was done by Bruce Wilcox. This report is also posted to the 2005 rulemaking

webpage http://www.energy.ca.gov/2005_standards/rulemaking/documents/2003-08-27 DUCT INSUL REV.PDF

Let me know if you have questions.

Thanks.

Bryan 916-654-4222